

SPACE

Published Monthly
from Coral Gables, Florida

Norbert F. Gariety, Editor and Publisher
267 Alhambra Circle

Phone HI 8-9300 Coral Gables, Florida

S. P. A. C. E. (Saucer Phenomena and Celestial Enigma)

The Air Force says that it has no **PHYSICAL EVIDENCE** (physical meaning 'something you can touch') that such things even exist. In the words of Capt. Edward J. Ruppelt, retired, who served three years at Wright Field, Dayton, Ohio, as Chief Investigator for Project Bluebook **WHAT CONSTITUTES PROOF??** — Does a UFO have to land at the River Entrance to the Pentagon, near the Joint Chiefs of Staff offices? Or is it proof enough when a ground Radar Station detects a UFO, sends a Jet up to intercept it, the pilot sees it, and locks on with his Radar, only to have the UFO streak away at a phenomenal rate of speed? Which is **PROOF?**

January 1962

Bulletin No. 61

NO EXPLANATION YET FOR STRANGE OBJECT CHADRON, Nebraska, Nov. 30, 1961 --- The strange flying object and its threadlike deposit which fell on the Theodore Goff farm on November 8 remains as much a mystery today as it did then. Chances for an explanation were dimmed today when Goff said that the strings of seemingly metallic fibers have completely disappeared from his place. Several of the mysterious strands were still clinging to some machinery about two weeks after the incident, but all efforts by Goff to preserve them have failed. "The wind and weather must have just blown them away," Goff said today. Goff had covered the strands with canvass in an attempt to save them.

No word at all has been received from an Air Force investigating team which was supposed to have come to the Goff farm. A check this morning with the Dawes County Sheriff's office revealed that no word has been received from Air Force officials and Goff said they have never contacted him. "I have a feeling that they know what it's all about and just aren't saying anything" he concluded.

Goff added that he talked with some duck hunters a while ago and they claimed to have seen the strange threads while hunting in the Sandhills area around November 8. The exact location of the Sandhills sighting is unknown, however. C. F. Coffee of Chadron also said he saw some of the strands on the Alliance golf course about the same time as they appeared here and many more people in the area witnessed the material on or around November 8. Meanwhile, an unconfirmed report from the state of Georgia revealed that similar sighting of the threads occurred there about two days ago. All efforts to verify the report, however, have been unsuccessful. (RECORD, Chadron, Nebraska --

Credit Wash. State NICAP Subcommittee).

* * * * *

FLYING OBJECT ENLIVEN'S DAY FOR OMAK PUPILS WENATCHEE, Washington -- Dec. 3, 1961 -- An unidentified flying object in the western sky Friday afternoon disrupted the peace and tranquility of a sixth grade class in Omak. Students of Mrs. Hanan's sixth grade class reported seeing a flying object, silver in color, moving across the sky. Glen Armstrong said he and Susan Moore, Chris Carter and Dirk Nansen saw the object out of the classroom window. However, when most of the rest of the class came to look, the object was gone. Needless to say, for the remainder of the class, visions of rockets and spacemen held the minds of the Omak school youngsters. (Wenatchee WORLD, Dec. 3, 1961 -- Credit Washington State, NICAP Subcommittee, Seattle, Washington.)

* * * * *

BIG GREEN UFO ----- DID IT LAND? CINCINNATI, Ohio -- January 4, 1962 -- A rash of reports of a huge, greenish unidentified flying object in the sky between Cincinnati and Columbus broke out last night, some saying the thing appeared to have landed.

At least two of the reports, one in Columbus and one here, included the alleged landing.

The whole business was being checked last night by Air Force authorities, Cincinnati's Leonard Stringfield told the Enquirer.

He said he felt the "landing" was an optical illusion based on the sighting's approach to the horizon, judging by his experiences in investigating past reports.

Mr. Stringfield, long-time student of such objects, said he received reports from Indian Hill, Clifton and Erlanger, Ky. area within a few minutes after 6 p.m., about the time of

the Columbus report. He said the reports indicated the object was greenish, solid and metallic and flying eastward at low altitude. No sound was reported.

The control towers at Lunken and Greater Cincinnati airports said they saw nothing strange in the skies and had no official reports of unusual activity in the area.

Official agencies were unable to explain the reasons for the reports. A spokesman at Lockbourne AF Base near here said a few calls had been received about a UFO. The spokesman said the sightings were under investigation by the Air Force.

UFO reports in Columbus were received by the Weather Bureau, police, Port Columbus and Lockbourne AFB.

In Lima, two sheriff's deputies saw the object while on a routine patrol about six miles outside the city.

A suburban Dayton man said the object was the "brightest night sky object I have ever seen." He described it as being blue instead of green. (Cincinnati ENQUIRER, Jan. 4, 1962, Credit Dorothy Lefler, Cincinnati, Ohio).

----- WITNESS DESCRIPTION

CINCINNATI - Jan. 3, 1962 - Carl Grasham of College Hill, Northern suburb of Cincinnati, was one of the observers of the above glowing object in the sky over Cincinnati. His report as given to our reporter is as follows:

TIME: 6:10 p.m.

LOCATION: Seen from basement of home, through north windows.

DESCRIPTION: Huge, bright green fireball, apparently round, no tail - or trail, but had white sparks at end. Color was whitish green, but very bright, traveling at a terrific speed from East to West on a straight course. Appeared high in the sky, but hard to make an accurate estimate of its height. It was Mr. Grasham's firm belief that it was not a meteor, as he had seen many large meteors before.

ED. NOTE: All meteors that your Editor has ever seen, or received reports of, had flaming tails behind them. However, there are times when "Official Reports", find meteors that do not have these tell-tale attributes. This "meteor" over Cincinnati,

seems to be one of these, judging from the "Official explanation".

----- UFO METEORITES -- AIR FORCE REPORTS

COLUMBUS, Ohio, Jan. 4 (AP)-- The Air Force tonight released a report apparently clearing up the identity of the barrage of fiery objects reported last night over Eastern Ohio skies.

The Air Force said the numerous reports of UFO's probably were triggered by meteorites as the earth moved through the "quadranties" a belt of meteors.

The earth moved through the belt from January 1 through January 4 with yesterday being the date of maximum activity. (Cincinnati ENQUIRER, Jan. 5, 1962 - Credit Dorothy Lefler, Cincinnati, Ohio).

* * * * *

However, not all the objects seen over Cincinnati were of short duration. The following report was of a meteor that lasted a minimum of 5 minutes, and those kind are strange meteors indeed!

The following report also sent in by Dorothy Lefler was made to her by Charles Klug, 2341 Nicholson Ave., in Northwestern Cincinnati.

TIME: Approximately 9:00 p.m.

LOCATION: Eastern sky. Witness sighted a stationary bluish-green, bright star-like object in the eastern section of the sky, about 50 degrees above the horizon. It was about the size of a star, but twice as bright.

It was changing colors "like when you look into a diamond reflecting light, from blue to green and back."

Witness sighted it first at Francis and Harrison streets and watched it before getting into his car. He continued to observe it through the windshield of the car while driving home.

When he arrived home, the object was still in the sky, and he watched it from outside the car before driving into the garage. The approximate length of sighting was between 5 and 8 minutes. (Credit Dorothy Lefler, Cincinnati, Ohio).

ED. NOTE: Over the years, we have found the Cincinnati ENQUIRER to be one of the newspapers to give creditable reporting to UFO reports, without the attitude of

ridicule, which has been the theme of many of the so-called "intellectual" editors. This same common sense, intelligent news reporting policy in the UFO field, is also carried over into the Pro-American - Anti-CommUNism arena, as seen in the many reports sent to us by our Cincinnati reporter, Dorothy Lefler.

The following is typical of the straight thinking editorial policy of this great pro-American newspaper:

TRAINING NEEDED

by Alice Widener

Publisher, U.S.A. Magazine

WASHINGTON: The U. S. Army says in its new "Why Me?" pamphlet addressed to Army Reserves and National Guardsmen. "Raw recruits are no answer to Communist aggression today." And how!

The Army pamphlet also stresses that the U.S. situation today calls for "trained individuals and units." And how!

But needed as they are in the Armed Forces, such trained anti-Communist individuals and units are much more needed in the White House, State Department, civilian side of the Pentagon and Supreme Court. There no raw recruits in the study of CommUNism can do the needed job, nor can it be done by individuals and units with soft, half-baked ADA (Fabian socialist) ideas. Yet it seems that many such individuals and units are acting as advisers in the White House, running sections of our State Department, sapping the strength of our military chiefs, and coddling CP subversives.

Raw recruits in the study of CommUNism believe that socialists can effectively fight Communists. Raw recruits in the study of CommUNism believe that there is such a thing as "stomach CommUNism" and the best way to fight it is to hand out billions of dollars to Marxian opportunists such as Nehru and Tito.

The raw recruits believe we can be partners-for-peace with Reds and "neutralists" in the United Nations, and can successfully "negotiate" with Khrushchev and Mao Tse-tung.

As far as dealing with Communist aggression is concerned:

--Untrained individuals acting as White House advisers believed it possible to launch a liberation invasion of Red Cuba without air cover.

--Untrained units in the State Department

believed, or professed to believe, that Herbert Matthews of the New York Times could give wise political advice about Castro to U.S. Ambassadors Earl Smith and Philip Bonsal.

--Untrained civilian individuals in the Pentagon heeded the Fulbright memo and clamped a tight censorship on generals and admirals seeking to teach raw recruits in our armed forces about the real nature of Communism abroad and at home.

--Untrained individuals on the Supreme Court handed down decisions in the Watkins, Slochower and other cases that sheltered homegrown Reds.

--Untrained units in the State Department figured it to be a good "calculated risk" to train Yugoslav jet fighter pilots in Texas.

The Army is more right than it seems to know or admit in a field much wider than that covered by its new pamphlet.

How many individuals in the White House, State Department, civilian-side Pentagon and Supreme Court could quote the following CP instruction for teachers at CP schools?

"Role of the party: There can be no revolution without one. The struggle is a political one, a struggle for state power. The ultimate struggle is an armed struggle for political power ... The party must have mass contact and consciously penetrate all organizations - but party action is always independent... The party organization must be adapted to the goal of its work. The form of organization varies with the given situation in each country but within certain limits. The general similarity of workers' struggle creates the basis for a common organizational form for the Marxian parties everywhere."

Today, how many individuals and units in the U.S. government are "opposed" to CommUNism but in sympathy with its alleged economic and social aims and also with its "national-and-colonial" policies?

ED. NOTE:- Our experiment in carrying articles designed to help strengthen our readers loyalty to the American way of life has been most interesting indeed.

As noted in past issues, the reaction has been 90% favorable (plus) and less than 10% unfavorable. It has also resulted in several cancellations of subscriptions, which we anticipated, along with vicious letters from a very small minority of readers.

However, we anticipate that the loss of these will more than be compensated for in the future, by those who do approve and will recommend this publication to other loyal Americans.

Most important of all, resulting from the experiment, was the reaction caused by the articles for America and against Communism, in the letters received from several editors of other publications.

No, we have no intentions of naming any names, as that would be foolish on my part, it's a job for the FBI, and other government agencies, not for the Editor of any UFO publication.

Suffice it to say, that the attacks came from 4 publications, and all the letters followed to the letter, the method as outlined by Mr. Edward Hunter, (author of BRAINWASHING--PAVLOV TO POWERS) in his testimony before the Senate Internal Security Sub-Committee, describing the directive issued in Dec. of 1960, to discredit and smear all Anti-Communists.

One of the vicious letters came as a complete surprise, from a quarter that this Editor had not expected. Our reason here, in mentioning this, is to warn once again those of you who receive a number of UFO publications to analyze the material carefully. If you have not read J. Edgar Hoover, MASTERS OF DECEIT, by all means do so.

You cannot properly analyze the material that comes in your mailbox unless you have read Hoover's book -- and while we are at it, we will recommend a few more. If you don't want to invest the money, or can't, get them from your public library:

BRAINWASHING ----- Ed Hunter
THE PENTAGON CASE ----- Victor J. Fox
I LED THREE LIVES----- Herb Philbrick
THE NAKED COMMUNIST ---- Cleon Skousan
YOU CAN TRUST THE COMMUNISTS--Schwartz
COMMUNIST AMERICA--MUST IT BE - by
Dr. Billy James Hargis

* * * * *

IS THIS A CAT OR A HOMING PIGEON?

KIMBERLEY, S. Africa -- The pet cat of a South African family has astounded scholars by walking 130 miles to trace its owners from De Aar to their new home in Kimberley.

Other cats have walked greater distances when returning to their old home, but this cat went to a house he had never visited. (Buffalo COURIER-EXPRESS, Dec. 22, 1961 -- Credit Gladys Fusaro, Huntington, L.I.)

* * * * *

FISH RESUME NORMAL LIFE IN THE SEA

SYDNEY, Australia -- Dec. 21 (UPI) - The suicide complex among fish along the Australian coast ended today as suddenly as it began.

Sportsmen on the northern coast of New South Wales resumed catching live fish on baited hooks, and schools of fish were swimming normally off the coast.

There still was no explanation of the sea mystery which caused thousands of fish to hurl themselves to death along 200 miles of coastline from Sunday until Wednesday.

"It's amazing," said Lester Cribb, Chairman of the Evan's Head Fishermen's Cooperative. "Whatever has caused the fish to die has suddenly disappeared. It's just like it was before."

Previously, the fish swam vertically to the beaches, spinning like tops through the water in a frantic rush to get out of the sea. (Buffalo Evening NEWS, Dec. 21, 1961, Credit Fusaro).

* * * * *

WHY CONTACTS ARE SO FEW

The Space Administration explains. The National Aeronautics and Space Administration recently issued a report. This report warned that unless we were prepared for the discovery that intelligent beings existed on other stars the shock that such a realization would bring might be severe enough to cause a collapse of earth civilization.

The Space Administration included a significant postscript to this warning. In its new report it devotes considerable attention to the possibility that spacemen might be visiting the earth but avoiding contact with us.

"It is possible," the report maintains "that if the intelligence of these creatures were sufficiently superior to ours, they would choose to have little if any contact with us. On the face of it, there is no reason to believe that we might learn a great deal from them, especially if their physiology and psychology were substantially different from ours."

There is no doubt at all that the infrequency of the contacts (or the absence of any contacts at all, in the sceptics' view) is a very powerful reason why our subject is not more generally accepted.

However, if it is held that visitors from other planets have been arriving here for hundreds and even thousands of years, it is necessary to answer the sceptics question:

"Why don't they do more to convince us of their existence?" Also, if the purpose of the visitors is to survey the scenery of earth--and perhaps its geology as well--then the longer the period over which the survey has been conducted, the more pointless it appears. If the visitors wish to avoid meeting us, why do they want to come here at all? The Space Administration seems to have no answer to that question, (Credit British FLYING SAUCER REVIEW)

"FLYING SAUCER" PROJECT DROPPED

WASHINGTON (UP) - America's flying saucer project has been grounded. By the end of the year, the last of more than \$7.5 million earmarked for the radical new craft, will be used. The Army and Air Force do not intend to spend any more. No reason was given for the decision. The high cost of new missiles and other weapons probably was the factor. Defense sources also indicated that development of the flying saucer is not coming along as fast as originally anticipated. The Pentagon said the wind tunnel tests made it clear that many changes were needed. The Army wanted a vehicle that would ride on a cushion of air instead of wheels. (San Francisco, Cal. CHRONICLE - December 27, 1961.)

UFO SIGHTED TUESDAY EVE BY SEVERAL HERE

BURNS, Oregon (Times Herald) - Chris Palmer, son of Mr. and Mrs. Marc Palmer, on his way home from town Tuesday evening saw what looked like a gigantic rocket in the southern sky. Calling his dad to come look, Marc in turn called neighbors Scotty and Marge Maitland and Joe Stampke to come view the strange sight. The object was rising from the southern horizon with a "tail" of fire about one third as long as the object itself. Marc Palmer, said it looked like a gigantic sky rocket. He measured the angle of height from the horizon with a transit and said it reached a height of 22 degrees, heading in a westerly direction, then seemed to turn due south and dropped from sight below the horizon very abruptly. Substantiating the story of the unidentified flying object, Palmer said he was told that the West Coast Airline reported the object at 6:40, Boise time, which coincided almost exactly with the time of 5:40 p.m. when the local residents saw the object. Palmer said he also understood that the object had been reported being sighted in

Lakeview and that several residents in Prineville also saw it. Unconfirmed reports from news services at Reno were that the object was in actuality a rocket, probably from Vandenberg Air Force Base, which is located about 200 miles south of San Francisco. (Credit Washington State Subcommittee, NICAP, 11323 14th Avenue NE, Seattle, Washington.)

With the majority of the sky reports reaching this desk behaving more like meteors than the conventional UFO's, we take leave of that phenomena for a moment to reprint an article from the British Flying Saucer Review concerning another equally mysterious creature:

THE LOCH NESS MONSTER

An Old Friend

The Loch Ness Monster will need no introduction to students of flying saucers: it used to be dragged in by the sceptics as a sort of gigantic red herring to disprove the reality of the UFOs. The argument went something like this: "Eye-witnesses are totally unreliable. They say they have seen the Loch Ness Monster (which doesn't exist) and now they claim to have seen flying saucers. Therefore, flying saucers don't exist." It is, in fact, the logic that doesn't exist and, in any case, the premise is at fault. Who could say with finality that Loch Ness contained no strange animal? All the evidence pointed to the fact that there was such an animal (or could it be a machine?)

The latest of several publications about the elusive monster has just been published. It is by Tim Dinsdale and has been issued by Routledge (21s). The book contains a still from the film taken by the author and shown on B.B.C. T.V. It showed a rhythmic splashing forward, which is strongly suggestive of powerful paddles in action.

The book was reviewed in the London OBSERVER on May 28 and a very fair review it is. Its conclusion is worth quoting. Here is what it says: "If I am correct in believing that science has more to do with an attitude of mind than with a body of dogmas or even of knowledge, then I will go further and say that there is more hope for science in the enthusiastic amateurism of Dinsdale and their like than there is in the institutionalised professionalism, the petrified respectability, the abject deference to authority, the unreasoning fear of Press ridicule, the tender regard for tenderer

reputations, and the yearnings toward the nomination lists at Burlington House which have kept zoologists well away from Loch Ness this last thirty years. There is no shame in honest investigation; there is, in the present context, more to commend in Mr. Dinsdale's errors than there is in all the zoological establishment's learning. I am sure that Bates and Belt, Gosse and Darwin and Wallace would have agreed with me, and I am quite positive that the founders of the Royal Society would have."

BraVe words and true. And they were written by no mad heretic. The writer of the review from which we have quoted was Denys W. Tucker, D.Sc. The case against orthodox science could not have been better stated. And all Mr. Tucker's words apply equally well to the subject of UFOs.

The publicity given to our old friend produced a series of letters in the London DAILY TELEGRAPH on the subject of the Loch Ness and other monsters. Professor C. E. Carrington, Professor Commonwealth Relations, on June 17 contributed a most interesting dissertation on the question of belief and disbelief. "When I look into my own mind," he wrote, "I observe a strong desire to believe in these monsters, however impossible, if I possibly could. On the other hand, I notice that some of my friends are equally inclined to disbelieve, and for them any kind of pseudo-rational explanation will serve. Why, they say, it's a floating log or a mass of decayed vegetation or a family of otters or anything that will evaporate the mystery and reduce it to dull matter-of-fact. All the positive accounts I have read from Loch Ness are weak by the rules of evidence, but the counter-blasts are much weaker. The explanations explain nothing. What we have here is a psychological problem. The believers and the unbelievers move along parallel lines which never meet . . . The other group is that of professional zoologists who timidly keep their fingers out of the pie. How distressing it would be if there were some creature in the loch which they have been ignorant of. Why are they not interested? This, too, is a psychological problem . . . I am not writing about Loch Ness, but about credulity. I might have written a similar letter about flying saucers or wolf-children or poltergeists."

We cannot be expected to pronounce any expert opinion on the Loch Ness Monster or,

for that matter, on wolf-children or poltergeists. What we can say with confidence, however, is that the positive evidence in support of flying saucers is not weak and is infinitely stronger than that in favour of the Loch Ness Monster--and we mean no disrespect to our old sub-aqueous friend. With Professor Carrington's other remarks, however, we heartily agree, and we congratulate him on reaching the heart of the matter. If only the "experts" were not so timid we might the sooner be able to solve many of the unexplained mysteries which continue to tantalize mankind.

* * * * *

With January arriving, the postal regulations make another change. One of the changes is in the return postage rate for mail, where the addressee has moved. The rate has taken a jump from 3 cents to 8 cents, which is almost a 200% increase. Of course we would not mind this too much -- if the current administration had not issued an Executive order last year ---dropping all the bans on Communist Propaganda coming into the U.S.A., and allowing the Communist literature to come into this country FREE OF CHARGE.

How this can be rationalized -- by any one who is for America and against Communism is more than many Americans can fathom. This Executive Order has been condemned by Senators and Representatives, Democrats and Republicans alike.

Now we are asked by the Administration to accept a raise in postal rates on first class mail from 4 to 5 cents. And yet Communists literature is still going to be carried all over the USA-POSTAGE FREE.

No wonder Nikita Krushchev has said: "You spit in an American's face and he calls it dew"!

* * * * *

And in line with such things -- we have not seen the NEWSWEEK article, but we have seen Herb Philbrick's answer, and here it is:

Phil Graham
Newsweek Magazine
444 Madison Avenue
New York 22, N.Y.

The NEWSWEEK attack against the present rising concern and interest of millions of loyal Americans to the threat of communism is not only totally inaccurate and unfair, but as a smear piece reaches a new low in

irresponsible journalism.

To link such dedicated and honorable men as Dr. Fred Schwarz and Dr. George Benson with Fritz Kuhn and Gerald L. K. Smith is contemptible.

As of today we are removing NEWSWEEK from the newsstand at Philbrick's store. We shall also carry out a secondary boycott by removing any consumer goods advertised in NEWSWEEK.

We may not be able to do much, but we can do something. When NEWSWEEK attacks Communists rather than anti-Communists we will consider handling it again.

Herbert A. Philbrick
Rye Beach, New Hampshire

As is our current policy when space news is in short supply, we are filling in with Pro-American, and Anti-CommUNist articles in this publication.

The winter months are normally slow with space reports, possibly because of weather conditions all over the country and more people are inside watching the "ONE-EYED MONSTER"---as it is commonly called, and if the sky phenomena are around they are seen by a minimum of witnesses.

So due to the above situation, we will finish out the balance of the issue with items that are designed to please Americans and infuriate any on our list who may have been accidentally born within the continental limits of the USA, but who are nevertheless not true Americans.

* * * * *

Quote from William Buckley -- Editor of NATIONAL REVIEW -- "A few years ago a witty observer indulged in a little wishful thinking --- If only --- he said --- Mao Tsetung, back in 1946 or 1947, had criticized Margaret Truman's singing --- China might have been saved."

* * * * *

"COMMIBUTS"

"I am not a Communist, but..." -- This is one of the oldest and most shopworn devices used by concealed Communists. Knowledgeable anti-Communists dub such people "Commibuts". The line usually goes, "I am not a Communist, but I am sympathetic towards some of the good things they stand for." Or, "I certainly have no use for Communists but you have to admit that the Fascist danger from the extreme right is just as dangerous

as Communism is alleged to be." Or, "I am not a Communist but you must admit that they cannot be criticized when they defend Constitutional rights and civil liberties."

Communism is total evil and by its very nature beyond all hope of redemption or salvation as long as it persists in its heresy against all religious and moral law. Any worthy causes it may pretend to advance are therefore sheer fraud and deception.

* * * * *

MISTAKEN CONTINUE THEIR DRIVE
TO SUBVERT YOUTH

The Mistaken drive on youth, in America and around the world, continues. The freedom-haters have coldbloodedly determined to make every possible effort to turn the enthusiasm and vigor of young people to forwarding their own evil ends. They are using all the subtlety and craftiness in which they have long been trained to subvert youngsters in the United States, in Latin America, in Japan, in Europe.

The Un-American Activities Committee of the U.S. House of Representatives is much aware of the problem in this area. The committee is on the job, making studies and conducting hearings of communist activities and propaganda among youth groups. Much information of value to fighters for freedom has been developed.

Committee Chairman Francis E. Walter has said: "I know that this committee's investigation in this area will probably precipitate a barrage from the communist press and from communist sympathizers characterizing our work as an investigation of youth. The communists know as well as we do that the overwhelming majority of the young people of this nation are of unquestioned patriotism and dedication to all that is good and noble in our society. But by equating an investigation of communist activities among youth with an investigation of youth itself the communists and their sympathizers hope to becloud the issue.

"This, of course is an old trick which the communists repeatedly use. When this committee investigates communist activities in defense plants, the smoke screen that the communists use is that we are investigating organized labor. When we investigate communist activities in an educational institution it is protested by the communists that we are investigating education.

"I am sure that the overwhelming majority of

William E. Daniel
8036 Fall River Dr.
Dallas 28, Texas

RETURN POSTAGE GUARANTEED

S. P. A. C. E.
N. F. GARIBETY, Editor and Publisher
267 ALHAMBRA CIRCLE
CORAL GABLES, FLORIDA



S. P. A. C. E. Page Eight

January 1962

Americans readily see through this fraud and it shall not dissuade us from our task."

One of the witnesses appearing before a recent hearing of the House Committee on Un-American Activities on communist activities and propaganda among youth groups was Herbert A. Philbrick of Rye Beach, New Hampshire. Mr. Philbrick, a former undercover agent of the Federal Bureau of Investigation serving in the Communist party, stated that youth has always occupied a top position as a target of the communists. But, he said, the Mistaken anti-freedom forces recently have felt that they are in an especially favorable position for a new drive on young people.

Mr. Philbrick said that the overall purpose of communist-front youth organizations is "to strengthen the position of the Soviet Union and to weaken the position of the United States, to break down if possible, the loyalties of young people, their respect, their regard for their own nation, their own country, their own background, their own heritage."

In discussing the rule of a communist-front organization as a transmission belt for communist propaganda Mr. Philbrick stated:

"The communists knew...that perhaps very few of the young people would be vulnerable to direct appeals by the communist party itself.

"They knew, for example, that if a leaflet or a pamphlet were to be given to the young people and it was clearly and accurately labeled Communist party, they might

tend to disregard it or perhaps not to believe it. However, if they were to take the same propaganda written at communist party headquarters, take off the label 'Communist Party' and put on a new label, such as 'American Youth for Democracy', this they hoped would sufficiently disguise the subversive propaganda and the material would be accepted. The communists called these organizations transmission belts. That is not my term. That is their term."

Commenting on the double talk used by the Mistaken forces in their efforts to penetrate youth groups, Mr. Philbrick testified;

"When the communists, for example, speak of the sharp struggle for peace, democracy, and security, what they really mean, of course, is the struggle on the part of the Soviet Union to win, to be victorious over the free world....When they speak of the democratic movements of youth, they, of course, do not mean democratic movements. They mean a totalitarian movement.

"They say, 'Groups of youth interested in Marxist study and action have appeared in a number of cities among college students, teen-agers, and other youth.'

"When they talk about Marxist study groups, they mean, of course, Marxist indoctrination groups, and it is interesting to note that the communists are here bragging that these Marxist indoctrination groups have been formed among college students, teen-agers, and other young people.".....

(Credit LIFE LINES, Washington, D. C.)